arXiv:1606.05792v1 [math.PR] 18 Jun 2016

Stratonovich-type integral with respect to a general stochastic measure [1]

Vadym Radchenko [2]

Abstract

Let μ be a general stochastic measure, where we assume for μ only σ-additivity in probability and continuity of paths. We prove that the symmetric integral $\int_{[0,T]} f(\mu_t, t) \circ \mathrm{d}\mu_t$ is well defined. For stochastic equations with this integral, we obtain the existence and uniqueness of a solution.

1 Introduction

The main object of this paper is to construct an integral with respect to a general stochastic measure. We will define the integral of the form $\int_{[0,T]} f(\mu_t, t) \circ \mathrm{d}\mu_t$, where $\mu_t = \mu((0,t])$, μ is the stochastic set function defined on the Borel σ-algebra of $[0,T]$, and for μ we assume σ-additivity in probability and continuity of the paths of μ_t. No additional assumptions will be made for μ regarding moment existence, path regularity or martingality condition.

We will define the "symmetric" integral as the limit in probability of Stratonovich integral sums. This integral is well defined for $f \in \mathbb{C}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and the chain rule formula holds in this case. In the last Section of the paper we will show that the limit of "non-anticipated" integral sums does not exist for some μ. Therefore, the definition of Itô type integral may not be used for general stochastic measures. But in some cases, such as stochastic integration on manifolds or Wong-Zakai approximation of stochastic equations, Stratonovich integral is a very useful tool. Stratonovich-type definitions of stochastic integrals with respect to differrent classes of processes may by founded in [9], [3], [6], and [19].

Integrals of deterministic functions with respect to general stochastic measures are well studied, see [10], [2], [12]. Stochastic partial differential equations with this integral were considered in [14], [16].

In order to study a wider class of equations driven by stochastic measures, we need a definition of an integral for random functions. This will be done in this paper. Our approach is similar to [5]. Moreover, under some additional assumptions, we prove that μ_t is the process with finite strong cubic variation. Further, we define the integral without these additional assumptions.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we have compiled some basic facts about stochastic measures. In Section 3 we study cubic variation of μ_t. Section 4 is devoted to the definition of our integral. Section 5 studies existence and uniqueness of a solution to equations driven by stochastic measures. To this aim we apply the Doss-Sussmann transformation. In Section 6 we give two important counterexamples, where we demonstrate that the finite quadratic variation approach and the "non-anticipated" definition of integral can not be applied in our case.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathsf{L}_0 = \mathsf{L}_0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathsf{P})$ be the set of all real-valued random variables defined on the complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathsf{P})$ (more precisely, the set of equivalence classes). Convergence in L_0 means the convergence in probability. Let X be an arbitrary set and $\mathcal{B}$ a σ-algebra of subsets of X.

Definition 2.1. A σ-additive mapping $\mu : \mathcal{B} \to \mathsf{L}_0$ is called *stochastic measure* (SM).

In other words, μ is a vector measure with values in L_0. We do not assume positivity or moment existence for SM. In [10] such μ is called a general SM. In the sequel, μ denotes a SM.

For a deterministic measurable function $g : \mathsf{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ and a SM μ, an integral of the form $\int_{\mathsf{X}} g \, \mathrm{d}\mu$ is defined and studied in [10, Chapter 7], see also [2], [12]. In particular, every bounded measurable g is

[1] To appear in *Stochastics: An International Journal of Probability and Stochastic Processes*

[2] Department of Mathematical Analysis, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kyiv 01601, Ukraine. *E-mail adddress*: vradchenko@univ.kiev.ua

integrable with respect to any μ. An analogue of the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem holds for this integral, see Proposition 7.1.1 of [10].

The following analogue of Nikodym theorem is satisfied for SMs.

Theorem 2.1. *[4, Theorem 8.6] Let μ_n are SMs on $\mathcal{B}$, $n \geq 1$, and*

$$\forall\, \mathsf{A} \in \mathcal{B}\ \exists\ \mu(\mathsf{A}) = \mathrm{p}\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_n(\mathsf{A}).$$

Then μ is a SM on $\mathcal{B}$.

Main results of this paper will be proved for μ defined on the σ-algebra of Borel subsets of $[0,T]$ under the assumption that the random process $\mu_t := \mu((0,t])$, $0 \leq t \leq T$ has continuous paths.

Examples of SMs on $[0,T]$ are the following:

1. Let M_t be a square integrable martingale. Then $\mu(\mathsf{A}) = \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\mathsf{A}}(t)\,\mathrm{d}M_t$ is a SM.
2. If W_t^H is a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst index $H > 1/2$ and $f : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded measurable function then $\mu(\mathsf{A}) = \int_0^T f(t)\mathbf{1}_{\mathsf{A}}(t)\,\mathrm{d}W_t^H$ is a SM. This follows from [11, Theorem 1.1].
3. An α-stable random measure defined on a σ-algebra is an SM, see [18, Chapter 3].
4. Let the random series $\sum_{n\geq 1}\xi_n$ converge unconditionally in probability, and m_n are real signed measures on $\mathcal{B}$, $|\mathsf{m}_n(\mathsf{A})| \leq 1$. Set $\mu(\mathsf{A}) = \sum_{n\geq 1}\xi_n \mathsf{m}_n(\mathsf{A})$. Convergence of this series in probability follows from [20, Theorem V.4.2], and μ is a SM by Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 8.3.1 of [10] states the conditions under which the increments of a real-valued process with independent increments generate a SM.

3 SM has finite strong cubic variation

Following [17], [5] and taking into account Remark 2.1 from [1], we say that a continuous process X_t, $0 \leq t \leq T$, has the *strong n-variation* on $[0,T_1]$ ($T_1 < T$) if

$$[X;n](t) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_{[0,t]} (X_{s+\varepsilon} - X_s)^n\,\mathrm{d}s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T_1,$$

exists in the sense of uniform convergence in probability, and every sequence $\varepsilon_i \to 0+$ admits subsequence $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i$ such that

$$\sup_i \frac{1}{\tilde{\varepsilon}_i}\int_{[0,T_1]} |X_{s+\tilde{\varepsilon}_i} - X_s|^n\,\mathrm{d}s < +\infty \quad \text{a. s.}$$

Assumption 1. *There exists a real-valued finite measure m on $(\mathsf{X},\mathcal{B})$ with the following property: if a measurable function $h : \mathsf{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ is such that $\int_{\mathsf{X}} h^2\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{m} < +\infty$ then h is integrable with respect to μ on X.*

This assumption holds, for example for SM generated by B_t^H with $H > 1/2$, for α-stable random measures on $\mathcal{B}$ with $\alpha \in (0,2]$ and for orthogonal SMs.

Lemma 3.1. *[15, Lemma 3.3] Suppose Assumption 1 holds. Let a measurable functions $f_k : \mathsf{X} \to \mathbb{R}$, $k \geq 1$, satisfy*

$$\int_{\mathsf{X}} \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k^2\Big)\mathrm{d}\mathsf{m} < +\infty.$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\Big(\int_{\mathsf{X}} f_k\,\mathrm{d}\mu\Big)^2 < +\infty \quad \text{a. s.}$$

Corollary 3.1. *If Assumption 1 holds then the set of random variables*

$$\Big\{\sum_{k=1}^{j}\Big(\int_{\mathsf{X}} f_k\,\mathrm{d}\mu\Big)^2 \quad \Big| \quad f_k:\mathsf{X}\to\mathbb{R} \text{ are measurable,} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{j} f_k^2(x)\le 1, \quad j\ge 1\Big\}$$

is bounded in probability.

Proof. If the statement fails, for some $\alpha>0$ and all $n\ge 1$ we can find functions f_{kn}, $1\le k\le j_n$, such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{j_n} f_{kn}^2(x)\le 1, \quad \mathsf{P}\Big\{\sum_{k=1}^{j_n}\Big(\int_{\mathsf{X}} f_{kn}\,\mathrm{d}\mu\Big)^2 > 2^n\Big\} > \alpha.$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{j_n}\big(2^{-n/2} f_{kn}(x)\big)^2\le 1, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{j_n}\Big(\int_{\mathsf{X}} 2^{-n/2} f_{kn}\,\mathrm{d}\mu\Big)^2 \text{ diverges,}$$

that contradicts Lemma 3.1. □

Theorem 3.1. *Let μ be a SM on Borel subsets of $[0,T]$, Assumption 1 holds, the process $\mu_t=\mu((0,t])$, $0\le t\le T$ has Riemann integrable paths, $0<T_1<T$.*

Then the set of random variables

$$\int_{[0,T_1]} \frac{\big|\mu_{s+\varepsilon}-\mu_s\big|^2}{\varepsilon}\,\mathrm{d}s, \quad 0<\varepsilon<T-T_1, \tag{3.1}$$

is bounded in probability.

Proof. For any $n\ge 1$ take the partition of $[0,T_1]$ by points $s_{kn}=\frac{k}{n}T_1\varepsilon\wedge T_1$, $0\le k\le j_n$, and consider Riemann integral sum for (3.1)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{j_n}\frac{\big|\mu_{s_{kn}+\varepsilon}-\mu_{s_{kn}}\big|^2}{\varepsilon}\,\frac{T_1\varepsilon}{n} = T_1\sum_{k=1}^{j_n}\frac{\big|\mu((s_{kn},s_{kn}+\varepsilon])\big|^2}{n} = T_1\sum_{k=1}^{j_n}\Big(\int_{[0,T_1]} f_{kn}\,\mathrm{d}\mu\Big)^2,$$

$$\text{where} \quad f_{kn}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\,\mathbf{1}_{(s_{kn},s_{kn}+\varepsilon]}(x). \tag{3.2}$$

(we take the length of the last segment of the partition equal to $T_1\varepsilon/n$ and the sum does not decrease). We have $\sum_{k=1}^{j_n} f_{kn}^2(x)\le 1$, by Corollary 3.1 set of sums (3.2) is bounded in probability, which implies the statement of the theorem. □

Now we easily obtain the following result for continuous processes μ_t.

Corollary 3.2. *Let μ be a SM on Borel subsets of $[0,T]$, Assumption 1 holds and the process $\mu_t=\mu((0,t])$, $0\le t\le T$ has continuous paths. Then for any $\delta>0$ and T_1, $0<T_1<T$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_{[0,T_1]}\big|\mu_{s+\varepsilon}-\mu_s\big|^{2+\delta}\,\mathrm{d}s \xrightarrow{\mathsf{P}} 0, \quad \varepsilon\to 0+.$$

In particular, the process μ_t has the strong cubic variation $[\mu;3](t)=0$, $0\le t\le T_1$.

Therefore, under Assumption 1, for μ with continuous paths the stochastic calculus developed in [5] may be applied. For instance, if $F\in\mathbb{C}^3(\mathbb{R})$ then we have that

$$F(\mu_t)=F(\mu_0)+\int_{[0,t]} F'(\mu_s)\,\mathrm{d}^\circ\mu_s, \quad 0<t<T,$$

and this symmetric integral is well defined in the sense of [5], see Proposition 3.3.

In the following section we will give a Stratonovich-type definition of the integral with respect to μ without assuming Assumption 1.

4 Stratonovich-type integral

Lemma 4.1. *[13, Lemma] Let μ be a SM and $a_n,\ n \geq 1$, a sequence of positive numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < \infty$. Let $\Delta_{kn} \in \mathcal{B},\ n \geq 1,\ 1 \leq k \leq j_n$, be such that for each n and $k_1 \neq k_2$ $\Delta_{k_1 n} \cap \Delta_{k_2 n} = \emptyset$. Then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \sum_{k=1}^{j_n} \mu^2\big(\Delta_{kn}\big) < \infty \quad a.\ s.$$

Corollary 4.1. *The set of random variables*

$$\Big\{ \sum_{k=1}^{j} \mu^2\left(\Delta_{kn}\right) \quad \Big| \quad \Delta_{kn} \in \mathcal{B}, \quad \Delta_{k_1 n} \cap \Delta_{k_2 n} = \emptyset \text{ for } k_1 \neq k_2, \quad j \geq 1 \Big\}$$

is bounded in probability.

Proof. If the statement fails, for some $\alpha > 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ we can find disjoint sets $\Delta_{kn} \in \mathcal{B}$, $1 \leq k \leq j_n$, such that

$$\mathsf{P}\Big\{ \sum_{k=1}^{j_n} \mu^2\big(\Delta_{kn}\big) > 2^n \Big\} > \alpha.$$

Then for these sets and $a_n = 2^{-n/2}$ we have a contradiction with Lemma 4.1. □

Definition 4.1. Let ξ_t and η_t be random processes on $[0,T]$, $0 = t_0^n < t_1^n < \cdots < t_{j_n}^n = T$ be a sequence of partitions such that $\max_k |t_k^n - t_{k-1}^n| \to 0$, $n \to \infty$. We define

$$\int_{(0,T]} \xi_t \circ \mathrm{d}\eta_t := \mathrm{p}\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{j_n} \frac{\xi_{t_{k-1}^n} + \xi_{t_k^n}}{2} \left(\eta_{t_k^n} - \eta_{t_{k-1}^n}\right) \tag{4.3}$$

provided that this limit in probability exists.

Assumption 2. *μ is a SM on Borel subsets of $[0,T]$, and the process $\mu_t = \mu((0,t])$ has continuous paths on $[0,T]$.*

Assumption 3. *V_t is a continuous process of bounded variation on $[0,T]$,*

Theorem 4.1. *Let Assumptions 2 and 3 hold, $f \in \mathbb{C}^{1,1}\big(\mathbb{R}^2\big)$. Then integral (4.3) of $f(\mu_t, V_t)$ with respect to μ_t is well defined, and*

$$\int_{(0,T]} f(\mu_t, V_t) \circ \mathrm{d}\mu_t = F(\mu_t, V_t) - F(\mu_0, V_0) - \int_{(0,T]} F_2'(\mu_t, V_t)\, \mathrm{d}V_t, \tag{4.4}$$

where $F(x,v) = \int_0^x f(y,v)\, \mathrm{d}y$.

Proof. For $g \in \mathbb{C}^2\big(\mathbb{R}\big)$ we have

$$g(b) = g(a) + g'(a)(b-a) + \frac{1}{2} g''(a)(b-a)^2 + \int_a^b (g''(x) - g''(a))(b-x)\, \mathrm{d}x,$$

$$\Big| \int_a^b (g''(x) - g''(a))(b-x)\, \mathrm{d}x \Big| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max_{a \leq x \leq b} |g''(x) - g''(a)| (b-a)^2. \tag{4.5}$$

By similar way, for $h \in \mathbb{C}^1\big(\mathbb{R}\big)$ we get

$$h(b) = h(a) + h'(a)(b-a) + \int_a^b (h'(x) - h'(a))\, \mathrm{d}x,$$

$$\Big| \int_a^b (h'(x) - h'(a))\, \mathrm{d}x \Big| \leq \max_{a \leq x \leq b} |h'(x) - h'(a)| (b-a). \tag{4.6}$$

So for $F \in \mathbb{C}^{2,1}\big(\mathbb{R}^2\big)$ it follows

$$F(x_1,v_1)-F(x,v) = F(x_1,v)-F(x,v)-\big(F(x_1,v)-F(x_1,v_1)\big)$$
$$= F_1'(x,v)(x_1-x)+\frac{1}{2}F_{11}''(x,v)(x_1-x)^2+o(1)(x_1-x)^2-F_2'(x_1,v_1)(v-v_1)+o(1)(v-v_1).$$

We considered there $o(1)$ as $x_1\to x$, $v_1\to v$, and their values may be estimated by (4.5) and (4.6).

If we change here $x_1\leftrightarrow x$, $v_1\leftrightarrow v$ and take the difference of two equalities we get

$$F(x_1,v_1)-F(x,v) = \frac{F_1'(x,v)+F_1'(x_1,v_1)}{2}(x_1-x) + \frac{F_2'(x,v)+F_2'(x_1,v_1)}{2}(v_1-v)+o(1)(x_1-x)^2+o(1)(v-v_1). \quad (4.7)$$

(Here we used that $F_{11}''(x_1,v_1)-F_{11}''(x,v)=o(1)$.)

Further, we denote $\Delta_{kn}=\big(t_{k-1}^n,t_k^n\big]$, and get

$$F(\mu_t,V_t)-F(\mu_0,V_0)=\sum_{k=1}^{j_n}\big(F(\mu_{t_k^n},V_{t_k^n})-F(\mu_{t_{k-1}^n},V_{t_{k-1}^n})\big)$$
$$=\sum_{k=1}^{j_n}\frac{F_1'(\mu_{t_{k-1}^n},V_{t_{k-1}^n})+F_1'(\mu_{t_k^n},V_{t_k^n})}{2}\mu(\Delta_{kn})+\sum_{k=1}^{j_n}\frac{F_2'(\mu_{t_{k-1}^n},V_{t_{k-1}^n})+F_2'(\mu_{t_k^n},V_{t_k^n})}{2}\big(V_{t_k^n}-V_{t_{k-1}^n}\big)$$
$$+o(1)\sum_{k=1}^{j_n}\mu^2(\Delta_{kn})+o(1)\sum_{k=1}^{j_n}\big(V_{t_k^n}-V_{t_{k-1}^n}\big).$$

We take $n\to\infty$, use Corollary 4.1 and obtain (4.4).

Note that for uniformly continuous functions μ_t, V_t, $t\in[0,T]$, here we have $o(1)\to 0$ as $\max_k|t_k^n-t_{k-1}^n|\to 0$. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let Assumptions 2 and 3 hold, $f\in\mathbb{C}^{1,1}\big(\mathbb{R}^2\big)$, $g\in\mathbb{C}^{2,1}\big(\mathbb{R}^2\big)$. Then the integral* (4.3) *of $f(\mu_t,V_t)$ with respect to $g(\mu_t,V_t)$ is well defined, and*

$$\int_{(0,T]} f(\mu_t,V_t)\circ \mathrm{d}g(\mu_t,V_t) \;=\; \int_{(0,T]} f(\mu_t,V_t)g_1'(\mu_t,V_t)\circ \mathrm{d}\mu_t+\int_{(0,T]} f(\mu_t,V_t)g_2'(\mu_t,V_t)\,\mathrm{d}V_t. \quad (4.8)$$

Proof. Denote $\zeta_t=f(\mu_t,V_t)$, $u=t_k^n$, $s=t_{k-1}^n$, and consider

$$\frac{\xi_s+\xi_u}{2}\big(g(\mu_u,V_u)-g(\mu_s,V_s)\big)\overset{(4.7)}{=}\frac{\xi_s+\xi_u}{2}\frac{g_1'(\mu_s,V_s)+g_1'(\mu_u,V_u)}{2}\mu(\Delta_{kn})$$
$$+\frac{\xi_s+\xi_u}{2}\frac{g_2'(\mu_s,V_s)+g_2'(\mu_u,V_u)}{2}(V_u-V_s)+o(1)\mu^2(\Delta_{kn})+o(1)(V_u-V_s).$$

For the first summand we have

$$\frac{\xi_s+\xi_u}{2}\frac{g_1'(\mu_s,V_s)+g_1'(\mu_u,V_u)}{2}\mu(\Delta_{kn})-\frac{\xi_s g_1'(\mu_s,V_s)+\xi_u g_1'(\mu_u,V_u)}{2}\mu(\Delta_{kn})$$
$$=\frac{\xi_u-\xi_s}{2}\frac{g_1'(\mu_s,V_s)-g_1'(\mu_u,V_u)}{2}\mu(\Delta_{kn})\overset{(4.7)}{=}\frac{\xi_u-\xi_s}{4}\Big(\frac{g_{11}'(\mu_s,V_s)+g_{11}'(\mu_u,V_u)}{2}\mu^2(\Delta_{kn})$$
$$+\frac{g_{12}'(\mu_s,V_s)+g_{12}'(\mu_u,V_u)}{2}\mu(\Delta_{kn})(V_u-V_s)+o(1)\mu^3(\Delta_{kn})+o(1)(V_u-V_s)\mu(\Delta_{kn})\Big).$$

The sum over this terms for $1\le k\le j_n$ tends to 0 as $n\to\infty$ because $\xi_u-\xi_s=o(1)$, thus we obtain the integral with respect to μ in (4.8), and analogously

$$\sum\frac{\xi_s+\xi_u}{2}\frac{g_2'(\mu_s,V_s)+g_2'(\mu_u,V_u)}{2}(V_u-V_s)\to\int_{(0,T]}f(\mu_t,V_t)g_2'(\mu_t,V_t)\,\mathrm{d}V_t.$$

as usual Stieltjes integral. □

5 SDE driven by SM

Let μ be a SM such that the process $\mu_t = \mu((0,t])$ is continuous.

In this section we study the stochastic equation of the form

$$\circ\, \mathrm{d}X_t = \sigma(X_t) \circ \mathrm{d}\mu_t + b(X_t, t)\,\mathrm{d}t, \quad 0 \le t \le T. \tag{5.9}$$

We can guarantee the existence of the stochastic integral only for functions of the kind $f(\mu_t, V_t)$. Therefore, using a Doss–Sussmann transformation is a natural approach in this case because it gives solution as a function of the integrator. Our consideration will be similar to [5, Section 4].

Definition 5.1. A process X_t, $0 \le t \le T$ is a *solution* to (5.9) if:

1) $X_t = f(\mu_t, Y_t)$, $f \in \mathbb{C}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, Y is a continuous process of bounded variation;

2) for any process $Z_s = \psi(\mu_s, X_s)$, $\psi \in \mathbb{C}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have

$$\int_{(0,t]} Z_s \circ \mathrm{d}X_s = \int_{(0,t]} Z_s \sigma(X_s) \circ \mathrm{d}\mu_s + \int_{(0,t]} Z_s b(X_s, s)\,\mathrm{d}s, \quad t \in [0,T]. \tag{5.10}$$

Assumption 4. *1) $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}^2(\mathbb{R})$ and the derivatives σ', σ'' are bounded;*

2) $b \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T])$;

3) for each $C > 0$ there exists a $L(C)$ such that

$$|b(x,t) - b(y,t)| \le L(C)|x-y|, \quad |x|,\ |y| \le C;$$

4) $|b(x,t)| \le K(1+|x|)$.

Let $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be the solution of the equation

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial r}(r,x) = \sigma(F(r,x)), \quad F(0,x) = x, \tag{5.11}$$

which exists globally because of our assumptions. Set $H(r,x) = F^{-1}(r,x)$, where the inverse is taken with respect to x. We have that $F,\ H \in \mathbb{C}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial r}(r,x) = -\sigma(x)\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(r,x) \tag{5.12}$$

(see calculations in [17] (5.5)–(5.12)).

Theorem 5.1. *Let Assumptions 2 and 4 hold, X_0 be an arbitrary random variable. Then equation* (5.9) *has a unique solution $X_t = F(\mu_t, Y_t)$, where Y_t is the solution of the random equation*

$$Y_t = H(0, X_0) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(\mu_s, F(\mu_s, Y_s)) b(F(\mu_s, Y_s), s)\,\mathrm{d}s. \tag{5.13}$$

Proof. By (4.8), for $X_s = F(\mu_s, Y_s)$, $Z_s = \psi(\mu_s, X_s)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{(0,t]} Z_s \circ \mathrm{d}X_s &= \int_{(0,t]} Z_s \frac{\partial F}{\partial r}(\mu_s, Y_s) \circ \mathrm{d}\mu_s + \int_{(0,t]} Z_s \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(\mu_s, Y_s)\,\mathrm{d}Y_s \\ &\overset{(5.11),\,(5.13)}{=} \int_{(0,t]} Z_s \sigma\big(F(\mu_s, Y_s)\big) \circ \mathrm{d}\mu_s + \int_{(0,t]} Z_s \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(\mu_s, Y_s)\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(\mu_s, F(\mu_s, Y_s)) b(F(\mu_s, Y_s), s)\,\mathrm{d}s.\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = 1$, we arrive to (5.10).

Now, we will prove the uniqueness of the solution X. Take in (5.10) $Z_t = \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(\mu_t, X_t)$ one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(\mu_s, X_s) \circ \mathrm{d}X_s &\overset{(5.10)}{=} \int_0^t \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(\mu_s, X_s)\sigma(X_s) \circ \mathrm{d}\mu_s + \int_0^t \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(\mu_s, X_s) b(X_s, s)\,\mathrm{d}s \\ &\overset{(5.12)}{=} -\int_0^t \frac{\partial H}{\partial r}(\mu_s, X_s) \circ \mathrm{d}\mu_s + \int_0^t \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(\mu_s, X_s) b(X_s, s)\,\mathrm{d}s\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

Using (4.8) for $Y_t = H(\mu_t, X_t) = H(\mu_t, f(\mu_t, Y_t))$ we get

$$Y_t = Y_0 + \int_{(0,t]} \Big(\frac{\partial H}{\partial r}(\mu_s, X_s) + \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(\mu_s, X_s) f_1'(\mu_s, Y_s) \Big) \circ \mathrm{d}\mu_s + \int_{(0,t]} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(\mu_s, X_s) f_2'(\mu_s, Y_s)\, \mathrm{d}Y_s$$
$$= Y_0 + \int_{(0,t]} \frac{\partial H}{\partial r}(\mu_s, X_s) \circ \mathrm{d}\mu_s + \int_{(0,t]} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(\mu_s, X_s) \circ \mathrm{d}X_s \overset{(5.14)}{=} Y_0 + \int_0^t \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(\mu_s, X_s) b(X_s, s)\, \mathrm{d}s,$$

that coincides with (5.13). □

Note that existence and uniqueness of a solution to (5.13) follows from the classical theory of differential equations. Detailed calculations may be found in Section 5.2 D of [8].

6 Some counterexamples

Example 6.1. (Continuous μ_t is not a finite quadratic variation process.)

We will show that finite quadratic variation approach (see [17]) can not be applied for SMs.

Let $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i$, $i \geq 1$, be independent Bernoulli random variables ($\mathsf{P}\{\tilde{\varepsilon}_i = 1\} = \mathsf{P}\{\tilde{\varepsilon}_i = -1\} = 1/2$), $T_1 > 2\pi$, and for a Borel subset $\mathsf{A} \subset [0, T_1]$ we put

$$\mu(\mathsf{A}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \tilde{\varepsilon}_i \int_{\mathsf{A}} \cos it\, \mathrm{d}t.$$

Here each $\alpha_i \in \{0, 1\}$, but their exact values we will choose later.

For each Borel set $\mathsf{A} \subset [0, T_1]$ this series converges a.s. because

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Big(\int_{\mathsf{A}} \cos it\, \mathrm{d}t \Big)^2 < +\infty$$

and μ is a SM by Theorem 2.1.

Note that the paths of the process

$$\mu_s = \mu((0, s]) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \tilde{\varepsilon}_i \frac{\sin is}{i},$$

are continuous. Moreover,

$$\Big(\sum_{2^j \leq i < 2^{j+1}} \frac{\alpha_i}{i^2} \Big)^{1/2} = O(2^{-j/2}),$$

and by Theorem 7.3 of [7] the paths of μ_s are Hölder continuous with exponent γ for any $\gamma < 1/2$.

Now we consider the quadratic variation of μ_s on $[0, 2\pi]$. By straightforward calculations we obtain

$$\int_{(0,2\pi]} \frac{(\mu_{s+\varepsilon} - \mu_s)^2}{\varepsilon}\, \mathrm{d}s = 4\pi \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \frac{\sin^2(i\varepsilon/2)}{i^2 \varepsilon} := 4\pi f(\varepsilon).$$

Note that for each finite set D

$$\sum_{i \in D} \alpha_i \frac{\sin^2(i\varepsilon/2)}{i^2 \varepsilon} \to 0, \quad \varepsilon \to 0. \tag{6.15}$$

We will use the equality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^2(i\varepsilon/2)}{i^2 \varepsilon} = \frac{2\pi - \varepsilon}{8},$$

that follows from Parseval's identity for the function $f(x) = \mathbf{1}_{[-\varepsilon/2, \varepsilon/2]}(x)$ on $[-\pi, \pi]$.

We will define intervals of positive integers $[m_j, n_j]$. Set $\alpha_i = 1$ if $i \in \cup_{j\geq 1}[m_j, n_j]$ and $\alpha_i = 0$ else. Put $m_1 = 1$, $\varepsilon_1 = 1$ and take n_1 such that

$$\sum_{i\in[m_1,n_1]} \frac{\sin^2(i\varepsilon_1/2)}{i^2\varepsilon_1} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Put $D_1 = [m_1, n_1]$, so $f(\varepsilon_1) > 1/2$.

Using (6.15) we take $\varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon_1$ so that

$$\sum_{i\in[m_1,n_1]} \frac{\sin^2(i\varepsilon_2/2)}{i^2\varepsilon_2} < \frac{1}{8}.$$

We take m_2 so that

$$\sum_{i\geq m_2} \frac{\sin^2(i\varepsilon_2/2)}{i^2\varepsilon_2} < \frac{1}{8},$$

so $f(\varepsilon_2) < 1/4$.

Take $\varepsilon_3 < \varepsilon_2$ such that

$$\sum_{i<m_2} \frac{\sin^2(i\varepsilon_3/2)}{i^2\varepsilon_3} < \frac{2\pi-1}{8} - \frac{1}{2},$$

then

$$\sum_{i\geq m_2} \frac{\sin^2(i\varepsilon_3/2)}{i^2\varepsilon_3} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

For given ε_3 we choose $n_2 > m_2$ so that

$$\sum_{i\in[m_2,n_2]} \frac{\sin^2(i\varepsilon_3/2)}{i^2\varepsilon_3} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

We repeat this procedure, and obtain $f(\varepsilon_{2k-1}) > 1/2$, $f(\varepsilon_{2k}) < 1/4$. Obviously, we can choose $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$. Therefore, for f constructed in this way the limit $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} f(\varepsilon)$ does not exist.

Example 6.2. ($\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{j_n}\mu^2(\Delta_{kn})$ does not exist.)

This example will demonstrate that non-anticipated integral as

$$\mathrm{p}\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{j_n} f(\mu_{t_{k-1}^n})\,\mu\big(\Delta_{kn}\big)$$

can not be properly defined for SMs.

As in the previous example, we will construct a SM of the kind

$$\mu(\mathsf{A}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\alpha_i\tilde{\varepsilon}_i\int_{\mathsf{A}}\cos it\,\mathrm{d}t, \quad \mathsf{A}\subset[0,2\pi],$$

where $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i$, $i \geq 1$, are independent Bernoulli random variables, and $\alpha_i \in \{0, 1\}$.

We consider

$$\Delta_{kn} = \big(2^{-n}(k-1)\cdot 2\pi, 2^{-n}k\cdot 2\pi\big], \quad 1\leq k\leq 2^n, \quad n\geq 1.$$

So we infer

$$S_n := \sum_{k=1}^{2^n}\mu^2(\Delta_{kn}) = \sum_{k=1}^{2^n}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\alpha_i\tilde{\varepsilon}_i\int_{\Delta_{kn}}\cos it\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{2^n}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\alpha_i\tilde{\varepsilon}_i\frac{2\sin i2^{-n}\pi\cdot\cos i2^{-n}(2k-1)\pi}{i}\Big)^2$$

$$= 4\sum_{k=1}^{2^n}\sum_{1\leq i,j<\infty}\alpha_i\tilde{\varepsilon}_i\frac{\sin i2^{-n}\pi\cdot\cos i2^{-n}(2k-1)\pi}{i}\alpha_j\tilde{\varepsilon}_j\frac{\sin j2^{-n}\pi\cdot\cos j2^{-n}(2k-1)\pi}{j}$$

$$= 2\sum_{1\leq i,j<\infty}\frac{\alpha_i\tilde{\varepsilon}_i\alpha_j\tilde{\varepsilon}_j}{ij}\sin i2^{-n}\pi\cdot\sin j2^{-n}\pi\sum_{k=1}^{2^n}\big(\cos(i-j)2^{-n}(2k-1)\pi + \cos(i+j)2^{-n}(2k-1)\pi\big).$$

We have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2^n} \cos(i-j)2^{-n}(2k-1)\pi = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad (i-j)2^{-n} \notin \mathbb{Z},$$
$$\sum_{k=1}^{2^n} \cos(i+j)2^{-n}(2k-1)\pi = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad (i+j)2^{-n} \notin \mathbb{Z}.$$

If $\alpha_i = 1$ for $2^{n-2} \le i < 2^{n-1}$ and $\alpha_i = 0$ for $i \ge 2^{n-1}$, we get

$$S_n \ge 2 \sum_{2^{n-2} \le i < 2^{n-1}} \frac{2^n}{i^2} \sin^2(i2^{-n}\pi) \ge 8 \sum_{2^{n-2} \le i < 2^{n-1}} 2^{-n} = 2 \tag{6.16}$$

(here we have used the estimate $\sin x \ge x(2/\pi)$, $0 \le x \le \pi/2$).

We will define an increasing sequence of positive integers n_j, set $\alpha_i = 1$ if $i \in \cup_{j\ge 1}[2^{n_j-2}, 2^{n_j-1}-1]$ and $\alpha_i = 0$ else.

In this case for $n > n_j$ we get

$$S_n = \sum_{k=1}^{2^n} \Big(\sum_{1 \le i < 2^{n_j-1}} \alpha_i \tilde{\varepsilon}_i \int_{\Delta_{kn}} \cos it \, \mathrm{d}t + \sum_{i \ge 2^{n_j+1}} \alpha_i \tilde{\varepsilon}_i \int_{\Delta_{kn}} \cos it \, \mathrm{d}t \Big)^2$$
$$\le 2 \sum_{k=1}^{2^n} \Big(\sum_{1 \le i < 2^{n_j-1}} \alpha_i \tilde{\varepsilon}_i \int_{\Delta_{kn}} \cos it \, \mathrm{d}t \Big)^2 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{2^n} \Big(\sum_{i \ge 2^{n_j+1}} \alpha_i \tilde{\varepsilon}_i \int_{\Delta_{kn}} \cos it \, \mathrm{d}t \Big)^2 =: 2A + 2B$$

As in (6.16), for fixed n_j we have

$$A = 2 \sum_{1 \le i < 2^{n_j-1}} \frac{\alpha_i \cdot 2^n}{i^2} \sin^2(i2^{-n}\pi) \to 0, \quad n \to \infty,$$

and $A < 1/4$ for $n := \tilde{n}_j$ large enough.

For fixed $\tilde{n}_j$ we can find large n_{j+1} so that $B < 1/4$, then $S_{\tilde{n}_j} < 1$. From other side, $S_{n_j} \ge 2$. Therefore, $\lim_{n\to\infty} S_n$ does not exist.

Note that by Theorem 7.3 of [7] the paths of μ_s are Hölder continuous with exponent γ for any $\gamma < 1/2$ (as in Example 6.1).

Acknowledgments

The author acknowledges the support of Alexander von Humboldt Foundation under grant 1074615, and thanks Prof. M. Zähle for fruitful discussions during the preparation of this paper.

References

[1] R. Coviello and F. Russo, *Nonsemimartingales: stochastic differential equations and weak Dirichlet processes*, Ann. Probab. 35 (2007), pp. 255–308.

[2] G. Curbera and O. Delgado, *Optimal domains for L^0-valued operators via stochastic measures*, Positivity 11 (2007), pp. 399–416.

[3] A. Deya, M. Jolis, and L. Quer-Sardanyons, *The Stratonovich heat equation: a continuity result and weak approximations*, Electron. J. Probab 18 (2013), pp. 1–34.

[4] L. Drewnowski, *Topological rings of sets, continuous set functions, integration. III*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Sér. sci. math. astron. phys. 20 (1972), pp. 439–445.

[5] M. Errami and F. Russo, *n-covariation, generalized Dirichlet processes and calculus with respect to finite cubic variation processes*, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 104 (2003), pp. 259 – 299.

[6] Y. Hu, M. Jolis, and S. Tindel, *On Stratonovich and Skorohod stochastic calculus for Gaussian processes*, Ann. Probab. 41 (2013), pp. 1656–1693.

[7] J.P. Kahane, *Some Random Series of Functions*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.

[8] I. Karatzas and S. Shreve, *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

[9] T.G. Kurtz, É. Pardoux, and P. Protter, *Stratonovich stochastic differential equations driven by general semimartingales*, Ann. I. H. Poincare Prob. 31 (1995), pp. 351–377.

[10] S. Kwapień and W.A. Woyczyński, *Random Series and Stochastic Integrals: Single and Multiple*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1992.

[11] T. Memin, Y. Mishura, and E. Valkeila, *Inequalities for the moments of Wiener integrals with respect to a fractional Brownian motion*, Statist. Probab. Lett. 51 (2001), pp. 197–206.

[12] V. Radchenko, *Integrals with respect to General Stochastic Measures*, Institute of Mathematics, Kyiv 1999, in Russian.

[13] V. Radchenko, *Besov regularity of stochastic measures*, Statist. Prob. Lett. 77 (2007), pp. 822–825.

[14] V. Radchenko, *Mild solution of the heat equation with a general stochastic measure*, Stud. Math. 194 (2009), pp. 231–251.

[15] V. Radchenko, *Paths of stochastic measures and Besov spaces*, Theory Probab. Appl. 54 (2010), pp. 160–168.

[16] V. Radchenko, *Stochastic partial differential equations driven by general stochastic measures*, in *Modern Stochastics and Applications*, Springer, 2014, pp. 143–156.

[17] F. Russo and P. Vallois, *Stochastic calculus with respect to continuous finite quadratic variation processes*, Stoch. Stoch. Rep. 70 (2000), pp. 1–40.

[18] G. Samorodnitsky and M. Taqqu, *Stable Non-Gaussian Random Processes*, Chapman & Hall, London, 1994.

[19] J. Swanson, *The calculus of differentials for the weak Stratonovich integral*, in *Malliavin Calculus and Stochastic Analysis*, Springer, 2013, pp. 95–111.

[20] N.N. Vakhania, V.I. Tarieladze, and S.A. Chobanian, *Probability Distributions on Banach Spaces*, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1987.